

VOL: I PAGES: 1-201 EXHIBITS: 1-7

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT!
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

ANDREA CABRAL; SUFFOLK COUNTY \*
SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT; SUFFOLK \*
COUNTY and CORRECTIONAL MEDICAL \*
SERVICES, INC.,
Defendants \*

Civil Action No. 04-11935-DPW

 DEPOSITION OF ANDREA CABRAL, ESQUIRE, a witness called on behalf of the Plaintiff, in the above-captioned matter, said deposition being taken pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, before Patricia M.
McLaughlin, a Certified Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public in and for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, at the offices of Goodwin Procter LLP, Exchange Place, Boston, Massachusetts, on Friday, May 6, 2005, commencing at 9:40 a.m.

McLAUGHLIN & ASSOCIATES COURT REPORTERS
92 DEVIR STREET, SUITE 304
MALDEN, MASSACHUSETTS 02148
781.321.8922
WWW.E-STENOGRAPHER.COM

1 VOL: TT PAGES: 202-397 2 EXHIBITS: 8-12 3 4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS 6 \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* 7 SHEILA J. PORTER, 8 Plaintiff -vs-Civil Action ANDREA CABRAL; SUFFOLK COUNTY 9 \* No. 04-11935-DPW SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT; SUFFOLK COUNTY and CORRECTIONAL MEDICAL \* 10 SERVICES, INC., 11 Defendants \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* 12 13 CONFIDENTIAL PURSUANT TO THE PROTECTIVE ORDER 14 CONTINUED DEPOSITION OF ANDREA CABRAL, ESQUIRE, a witness called on behalf of the Plaintiff, in the above-captioned matter, said deposition being taken pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, before Patricia M. 15 16 McLaughlin, a Certified Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public in and for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, at the offices of Goodwin Procter LLP, Exchange Place, Boston, Massachusetts, on Friday, June 24, 2005, commencing at 10:10 a.m. 17 18 19 20 21 McLAUGHLIN & ASSOCIATES COURT REPORTERS 92 DEVIR STREET, SUITE 304 22 MALDEN, MASSACHUSETTS 02148 781.321.8922 23 WWW.E-STENOGRAPHER.COM 24

whether or not -- I don't recall whether or not she even got back to me.

- Q Give me a complete statement, if you would, of the reasons why you concluded or decided to give the order to bar Miss Porter.
- She's a nurse working at the House of Correction; pursuant to our contract with CMS is told by an inmate that the inmate has been abused and beaten by an officer, alleges that there is physical evidence of those bruises. The nurse does not document in the medical record her observations of what was relayed to her by the patient.

Upon our discovery that these allegations have been made and our discovery that she, in fact, was one of the first people to whom the allegations had been reported, we request a confidential report. The confidential report is not submitted in a timely manner. It is received by us ten days subsequent to it being requested. It is not in memo form to Deputy Superintendent

Mastrorilli, to whom the report should have been addressed, from Sheila Porter. It is on

			- 0.5
			87
1		a medical record form, which has particular	
2		significance to me and it is dated on the	
3		date of the incident in the space reserved	
4		for the date as though that's when the	
5		treatment was rendered.	
6	Q	Those are your entire reasons?	
7	A	Those were my reasons.	
8	Q	And it's your testimony that it had nothing	
9		to do with the fact that Miss Porter spoke to	
10		the FBI?	
11	A	No.	
12	Q	Did you understand or assuming these are your	
13		reasons	
14		MS. CAULO: Objection. She just	
15		testified that those were her reasons.	
16	Q	What is it in the policies of the Sheriff's	
17		Department that makes any of these statements	
18		of reasons that you have given a basis under	
19		which someone can be barred from the	
20		facility?	
21	A	If I can refer to Exhibit 1?	
22	Q	Sure.	
23	A	Just going through the policy, certainly	
24		under policy statements on Page 1, first, I	
	McLAU	JGHLIN & ASSOCIATES COURT REPORTERS-781.321.8922	

memory of it?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

- I believe that was who I was speaking of, and it was clear to me that U.S. Attorney Sullivan was also speaking about Nurse Porter.
- In the second paragraph of Exhibit 8, you start with a reference to the June 16th meeting. If you go to Exhibit 6, the third paragraph at the bottom of Page 1, the last two lines talk about that you answered, "Truthfully and unequivocally that Sheila Porter's assignment to the department was not cancelled because she provided information to the FBI or your office. Indeed, we had a candid and substantive discussion regarding the actual and appropriate reasons for that cancellation." Did I read that correctly?
- A You did.
- What were the actual and appropriate reasons 0 that were expressed in the June 16th meeting to Mr. Sullivan and others as to the reason for cancelling Miss Porter's ability to come into the facility?

A They were in a shorter, more concise version, what I previously testified to as to my reasons for barring her. There was also --

7 8

I remember that Elizabeth Keeley spoke. I think Viktor Theiss also spoke as well. The sum and substance of it was that there was no documentation in the medical record; that a report wasn't given to us in a timely manner. What we received was not a confidential report. There was an issue about the backdating of the report. There was additional conversation around some of the other things that concerned us, the subsequent appearance of Maureen Robinson at the facility the next day.

And it was all happening -- this conversation was all happening sort of at the same time. So there were a number of things that were said. I remembered it as a candid and substantive discussion, but I don't have an exact recollection as to what everyone said and what everyone responded and what the questions were.

Q Are the reasons that you gave to Mr. Sullivan

and the others at this meeting for

Miss Porter's barring different in any way to
the reasons that you indicated in your prior
testimony of why you made the decision to bar
her?

- A I don't recall them as being different.
- Q So you believe you gave both no fewer and no larger number of reasons to Mr. Sullivan than you've given in your testimony here as for your reason for barring Miss Porter?

MS. CAULO: Objection.

I could not say that. I could not say that.

I don't have a specific recollection -- I

need to put this in context. When we go to a

meeting with the U.S. Attorney, the first

assistant and the SAC, Ken Kaiser, we go to

this meeting believing that the

conversation -- or I should say that I went

to this meeting believing that we were going

to have a conversation about how to improve

the relationship between the U.S. Attorney's

Office, the FBI and the Sheriff's Department,

because historically that relationship had

not been good.

campaign was forced to pay fines to the Office of Campaign and Political Finance?

MS. CAULO: Objection.

A There may have been.

- Q What incidents are you aware of?
- I'm aware of a -- what is the name of it?

  What are they called? Dear friend card, a
  dear friend card that was sent out. A dear
  friend card is essentially a card that people
  who support the campaign send to friends and
  neighbors encouraging them to vote for a
  particular candidate.

I didn't prepare or create the dear friend card. I'm aware that it was sent out. In a bottom corner, it contained standard language indicating if you wanted to volunteer for the campaign or if you wanted to contribute to the campaign, call or log onto the website or something of that nature.

Some of the people who sent out dear friend cards were public employees, and ultimately, the Office of Campaign Finance essentially said that that could be interpreted as a solicitation for money by

278 1 public employees. And we actually -- I'm not 2 sure actually who brought it to their 3 attention, but I remember distinctly that my 4 campaign manager called to inquire about it. 5 We spoke with them, and they determined what 6 the appropriate relief was. And we abided by 7 that. 8 And the relief was a fine for violating 0 Section 13 of the Mass. General Laws, 9 10 Chapter 55? 11 A Right. But that goes to my campaign, 12 Mr. Savage, not me. 13 And you were aware of the dear friend cards Q 14 going out when they went out? 15 MS. CAULO: Objection. 16 I was aware when they went out. I was not 17 aware of everything that was contained in 18 them. I had seen an early draft. I had 19 perused an early draft. They went out in the 20 regular course as they do in campaigns. Did the early drafts contain the information 21 22 that violated the law? 23 MS. CAULO: Objection. 24 I clearly didn't see it. Had I seen it, I McLAUGHLIN & ASSOCIATES COURT REPORTERS-781.321.8922

279 1 probably would have spoken up and said should 2 this be here, but I didn't see it. 3 I'm wondering whether it contained it? I don't know. If I didn't see it, I couldn't 5 tell you whether or not it contained it. 6 Was there another incident where your 7 campaign was fined for breaking the law? 8 MS. CAULO: Objection. 9 I object to your characterization of breaking 10 the law. There might have been a second 11 incident. I don't have a recollection of 12 what it is. Do you have a recollection of an incident of 13 14 where a sheriff's employee was moving 15 furniture from the Sheriff's Department to 16 your campaign offices in violation of the 17 law? 18 MS. CAULO: Objection. 19 I have a recollection that a Sheriff's 20 Department employee instructed another to 21 drop off surplused furniture and that that 22 was unknown to me when it happened. When I found out that it happened, I suspended the 23 24 employee for two weeks. McLAUGHLIN & ASSOCIATES COURT REPORTERS-781.321.8922

292 1 U.S. Attorney's Office is disclosing 2 confidential information like that, I think 3 it would be extremely improper. 4 THE WITNESS: I would like to also add 5 for the record that an application to the U.S. Attorney's Office, I am aware, is 6 7 completely confidential in all of its 8 particulars and that I am very surprised that 9 Mr. Savage has this information. 10 MR. SAVAGE: Mr. Savage has asked 11 questions. Mr. Savage doesn't have 12 information. 13 THE WITNESS: I want the record to 14 reflect that Mr. Savage clearly has 15 information related to very specific and very 16 particular details of my application to the 17 U.S. Attorney's Office. 18 BY MR. SAVAGE: 19 And you violated the statements on your 20 student loan applications that indicate that 21 you would repay the money, right? 22 MS. CAULO: Objection. Mr. Savage, at the time that I applied for 23 24 the loans, I promised to pay them, which all McLAUGHLIN & ASSOCIATES COURT REPORTERS-781.321.8922

1 people who apply for the loans promise to do. 2 At some point I was unable to repay them. I 3 defaulted on them. As they should have, the people involved brought lawsuits in order to 4 5 get the money back, because I simply didn't have it. I didn't contest those lawsuits, 6 7 and I repaid all of those loans. 8 When did your tenure end at the Suffolk 9 County District Attorney's Office? 10 MS. CAULO: Objection. Asked and answered at the first day of her deposition. 11 12 A Sometime in 2002, in April, I think. 13 And did you resign that position? 14 A I did. Did you have accrued leave at the time you 15 Q 16 left that position? 17 I did. A Did you receive it as a lump sum payment or 18 19 how was that handled? 20 I was paid for the time, but I was paid for 21 the time over a period of time. 22 Is that the typical way in which it was 23 handled? 24 MS. CAULO: Objection. McLAUGHLIN & ASSOCIATES COURT REPORTERS-781.321.8922